Notice of Abandonment	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	10/593,447	ROSNELL MAR	ROSNELL, MARKKU	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David Andrews	3672		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			iress	
This application is abandoned in view of:				
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offical (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does 	Mailing or Transmission date f month(s)) which exp	d), which is after the eired on		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	ed Notice of Appeal (with app			
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not const final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See			y, to the non-	
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.				
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, we), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). 	-85). as received on (with a	a Certificate of Mailing or Tra	nsmission dated	
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balan	ce of \$ is due.			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if requir	ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.			
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as real Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 		•		
after the expiration of the period for reply.			, ,	
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. 	he attorney or agent of record	d, the assignee of the entire in	terest, or all of	
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	an attorney or agent (acting ir	a representative capacity un	der 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed class		d because the period for seek	king court review	
7. The reason(s) below:				
Attachment: PTOL-413B Examiner Initiated Intervi	iew Summary			
/David J. Bagnell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3672				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withd	fraw the holding of abandonment	under 37 CFR 1.181, should be p	promptly filed to	